

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,429	10/06/2004	Jean-Pierre Martiniere	5284-47PUS	8486	
27799 COHEN PON	7590 04/24/200 TANI, LIEBERMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AVENUE			KIM, HEE SOO		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK,	NY 10176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/510,429	MARTINIERE, JEAN-PIERRE					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HEE SOO KIM	2157					

	HEE SOO KIM	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date to purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office law may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,         (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co         (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belc         (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bell         appeal; and/or         (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	scied ciairis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prot The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application is	condition for allowan	ce because:			
See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/OP/ON P. N. / )					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Ario Etienne/	HEE SOO KIM					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2157

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue the prior art (Sampath) did not teach the limitations of Independent Claims 1 and 16. In particular, applicants contend Sampath taught a system in which the diagnosis of a range of equipment is performed centrally by a single diagnostic server in contrast to applicant's claimed invention of a server provisioning specialized servers to diagnose the equipments. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Sampath taught an analysis of the monitored system is performed by the diagnostic server and within the server, the repair planning circuit determines an appropriate action in response to the status information and by utilizing the routing circuit, routes the action request to the appropriate service, repair, or to an autonomous repair agent (Col. Etines 58-68). Sampath further taught that a 3" party service provider (a type of specialized service or maintenance to the monitored systems (Col. 7, Lines 36-60). Note that the 3" party service provider is located elsewhere and the diagnostic server provisioned the service provider by sending an order to diagnose the monitored system.